



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

which are based upon necessity and trustworthiness. The necessity exists, the declarant being dead, and there is a guaranty of trustworthiness since the return is sworn to under a heavy penalty for dishonesty. The return would also seem to be against interest since a person making an income tax return subjects himself to pecuniary liability to the government in proportion to his stated income, and therefore would have no inducement to exaggerate it.]

**Easements—Grant of Use of Wall for Advertising Purposes.**—In *Thos. Cusack Co. v. Myers*, 178 N. W. 401 the Supreme Court of Iowa held that the grant for a fixed consideration of the right to use a wall for advertising purposes for a term of one year is in the nature of an easement and cannot be revoked prior to the expiration of the year.

The court said in part: "The owner of a building who makes a contract for a valid consideration to permit another to display advertising thereon is as much bound by the terms thereof as he would be by any other contract. The authority or right to use the walls in question is not merely permissive, but amounts, at least, to the grant of a right in the nature of an easement. *Levy v. Louisville Gunning System*, 121 Ky. 510, 1 L. R. A. (N. S.) 359, 89 S. W. 528; *Willoughby v. Lawrence*, 116 Ill. 11, 56 Am. Rep. 758, 4 N. E. 356; *Borough Bill Posting Co. v. Levy*, 70 Misc. 608, 129 N. Y. Supp. 181; *Cusack v. Gunning System*, 109 Ill. App. 588. The exact question under consideration was only indirectly involved in several of the cases cited by counsel for appellant, and none of them appear to sustain their claim that the grantor could revoke the contracts in question at will. Several of the cases cited are in the New York Supplement reports. In *Borough Bill Posting Co. v. Levy*, *supra*, the court held that specific performance of a license or contract to use real estate for advertising purposes given for a definite period and for a valuable consideration might be granted in a proper case. It is our conclusion that, as the contracts were based upon a consideration and fully performed by plaintiff, they were not revokable at the will of the grantor, but that he was bound by the terms thereof."

---

**Elections—Statute Requiring Voter to State Age.**—In *State v. Hillenbrand*, 130 N. E. 29, the Supreme Court of Ohio held that a statute requiring an applicant for registration as a qualified elector of a municipality to state his or her age in years and months is constitutional and valid.

The court said in part: "If it could be successfully contended that an applicant for registration need not state his or her age, but that the answer may be limited to a mere statement that the applicant is of legal age, it would follow, by parity of reasoning, that the vast